

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,133	03/24/2004	Jean-Luc Perillon	1759.157	4558
	590 03/08/200 ENBERG FARLEY &	EXAMINER		
5 COLUMBIA (·	RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		1771	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
2 MONTUS		03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/808,133	PERILLON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ula C. Ruddock	1771			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006				
		action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[🛛	5) Claim(s) <u>1-10,14,15 and 17-20</u> is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12,13,16</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
,—		cicoton requirement.				
	ion Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	et(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) De r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,133

Art Unit: 1771

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed December 8, 2006. In view of Applicant's response, the prior art rejections in view of Gray et al. (US 5,707,904), Crouch et al. (US 5,895,705), and Paquette et al. (US 5,229,207) have been withdrawn. However, the 112, 1st paragraph rejections have been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 11, 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 11, the phrase "said printable varnish layer comprises a non-ink layer" is new matter, because this negative limitation is not literally supported by the specification. *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393. In the specification, there is no mention of having a varnish layer with no ink. In claim 12, Applicant claims "said adhesive layer remains repositionable when cured." There is no support for this limitation in the specification. In claims 13 and 16, Applicant claims "wherein said varnish layer comprises a non-printed layer when deposited onto the one of the impregnation layers." These limitations are considered new matter and amendments to the claims are required to delete these new matter limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,133

Art Unit: 1771

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant claims a printable varnish layer "wherein said varnish layer comprises a <u>non</u> <u>printed</u> layer when deposited onto the one of the impregnation layers." This phrase is considered to be vague and indefinite because it is not seen how a printable varnish layer is non-printed. Correction is required.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 8, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that there is support for the varnish layer being a "non-ink layer" and for the limitation that the adhesive layer remains repositionable "when cured." Despite Applicant's arguments, the specification fails to provide any suggestion that the varnish layer is "non-ink" and that the adhesive layer remains repositionable "when cured."

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-10, 14, 15, 17-20 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 11, 12, 13, and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/808,133

Art Unit: 1771

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: no prior art was found to teach the printable display support comprising all of the claimed layers.

Page 4

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

UCRUM

No G. Ruddoo! Primary Examiner Tooh Contor 1780